

**RHODE ISLAND
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
YEAR-END REPORT
CALENDAR YEAR 2002**

Ashbel T. Wall II, Director



Bree Derrick, Erin Boyar and Ann-Marie Bandieri
Planning & Research Unit

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION TO REPORT	2
II. RIDOC DESCRIPTION AND DATA CAVEATS	3
III. TOTAL POPULATION STATISTICS	4
a. Average Annual Population FY93-FY02	
• Male, Female, and Total Populations	
b. Average Annual Population FY93-FY02	
• Awaiting Trial, Sentenced, and Total Populations	
c. Average Monthly Population CY02	
• Awaiting Trial, Sentenced, and Total Populations	
d. Total Population Demographics	
• Offense Type, Race, Age	
IV. AWAITING TRIAL POPULATION STATISTICS	9
a. Total Awaiting Trial Population (Males and Females)	
• Offense Type, Race, Age, Bail	
V. SENTENCED POPULATION STATISTICS	13
a. Total Sentenced Population (Males and Females)	
b. Facility-specific Demographics	
• Offense Type, Race, Age, Sentence Length	
VI. INTRODUCTION TO COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS DATA	19
VII. COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS STATISTICS	20
a. Probation Population	
b. Parole Population	

I. INTRODUCTION

The Planning & Research Unit, Administrative Division of the RI Department of Corrections prepared this report. Data contained in the report were obtained through the Department's INFANTS (Inmate Tracking) and Probation & Parole Tracking System databases and analyzed by the Planning & Research Unit staff.

As this report continues to evolve, there is a continued need to modify its contents. There are several changes in this report: (1) demographic information related to the total population (awaiting trial and sentenced) have been added, (2) facility-specific pie charts have been replaced with tables showing the same data (i.e., number and percentage of certain characteristics) across all facilities, (3) the Probation and Parole section has been reformatted to provide the same information as that provided for the sentenced population, and (4) workforce and financial data has been eliminated and can be obtained directly from Human Resources and Financial Resources, if necessary.

Additional copies of this report can be downloaded from the RIDOC website at www.doc.state.ri.us/divisions/admin. If you should require additional information regarding the information contained in this report, contact Bree Derrick, Principal Planner, at (401) 462-3921.

II. RIDOC DESCRIPTION AND DATA CAVEATS

RIDOC Description

Rhode Island operates a unified correctional system, meaning that all pretrial detainees and sentenced offenders (regardless of sentence length or crime) are under the jurisdiction of the Rhode Island Department of Corrections. RIDOC has eight (8) housing facilities on the Pastore Government Center Complex in Cranston, RI. For male inmates, the facilities include: a reception and diagnostic center which houses all pretrial detainees as well as newly sentenced offenders (Intake Service Center); one supermax (High Security Center); one maximum-security (Maximum); two medium-securities (Medium Moran and Medium Price); and one minimum-security building (Minimum). For females, there are two on-grounds facilities: the medium-security (Gloria McDonald Building) and the minimum-security (Dorothea Dix Building). Additionally, about a dozen sentenced females are housed off grounds in a transitional housing program (WTH). Both male and female offenders also participate in the electronic monitoring program (Home Confinement).

The RIDOC is also responsible for supervising all adult offenders who are in the community but under some form of community supervision (either Probation or Parole). The great majority of all sentenced offenders in RI receive a “split sentence,” meaning that they spend some portion of time incarcerated at the RIDOC, followed by time on Probation.

Data Caveats

The majority of information in this report is a summarization of RIDOC's stock population on December 31, 2002. Readers should be cautioned that stock data do not capture the flow of inmates into and out of RI's correctional system; instead, stock data are a “snapshot” of RIDOC's population on one particular day. Please pay special attention to the title of each graphic, as the title will indicate if the data is representative of a time period other than the stock date (i.e., fiscal year, calendar year).

Offense Categories

Throughout the Report, type of offense is determined by the most serious charge for which the offender is sentenced to incarceration or community supervision. As an example, if an offender had both a drug charge and a sex charge, they would be captured in the sex category and not the drug category.



Race Categories

RIDOC records Hispanic as a race rather than as an ethnicity. As a result, we cannot determine whether inmates identifying themselves as Hispanic are white or black.

Missing Data

Unfortunately, in some instances, data is missing from the source database. As a result, totals may not always add up to 100%.

III. TOTAL POPULATION FY93- FY02



Summary:


The total prison population has experienced a net increase of 22% from FY93 to FY02.

The total population for FY02 averaged slightly more (1%) than that of FY01. The awaiting trial population increased by 9% from FY01 to FY02. The sentenced population, however, decreased slightly (1%) from FY01 to FY02.


The total population for FY03 has decreased slightly (1%) from July to December 2002.

The average monthly population for September, October and November 2002 was over 3600, with an all time high population of 3618 on October 14, 2002.

Average Monthly Sentenced Population Jan - Dec 02



Average Monthly Awaiting Trial Population Jan - Dec 02




Summary:

The total sentenced population increased by 7% from January to December. Sentenced males increased by 12%, while sentenced females had an increase of 17%.

From January to December, the total awaiting trial population decreased by 12%. The male awaiting trial population decreased by 11%, while the female awaiting trial population decreased by 23%. Contributing to this decline was a 47% decrease in the number of INS detainees held at the RIDOC.

III. Total Population Demographics (N=3481)


- Data below are representative of RIDOC's population on 12/31/03. Included are RI offenders who are serving in RI (either in a secure facility, non-secure facility, or on home confinement), and those offenders who are under another State's jurisdiction, but housed in RI.
- Offenders excluded from the summary statistics below are those offenders who are under RI jurisdiction but serving out-of-state, and those offenders being detained by the INS or other Federal agency are also excluded (since this segment of the population fluctuates as a result of the true custodial population).



			Type of Offense					Total
			Violent	Sex	B&E	Drug	Nonviolent	
SEX	Female	Count	44	1	10	43	107	205
		% within SEX	21.5%	.5%	4.9%	20.9%	52.2%	100.0%
	Male	Count	1242	370	249	715	679	3255
		% within SEX	38.2%	11.4%	7.6%	22.0%	20.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	1286	371	259	758	786	3460
		% within SEX	37.2%	10.7%	7.5%	21.9%	22.7%	100.0%

Summary:


On December 31st, the type of offense for the majority of the male population (38%) was violent while the offense type for the majority of the female population (52%) was nonviolent.



			Race						Total
			Amer Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	White	
SEX	Female	Count	0	0	54	30	0	125	209
		% within SEX	.0%	.0%	25.8%	14.4%	.0%	59.8%	100.0%
	Male	Count	12	39	911	644	5	1661	3272
		% within SEX	.4%	1.2%	27.8%	19.7%	.2%	50.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	12	39	965	674	5	1786	3481
		% within SEX	.3%	1.1%	27.7%	19.4%	.1%	51.3%	100.0%

Summary:

Whites constitute the largest proportion of all inmates (51%). The second largest proportion of inmates are Black (28%).




			Age						Total
			< 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	
SEX	Female	Count	7	52	86	53	11	0	209
		% within SEX	3.3%	24.9%	41.1%	25.4%	5.3%	.0%	100.0%
	Male	Count	125	1125	1111	673	173	65	3272
		% within SEX	3.8%	34.4%	34.0%	20.6%	5.3%	2.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	132	1177	1197	726	184	65	3481
		% within SEX	3.8%	33.8%	34.4%	20.9%	5.3%	1.9%	100.0%

Summary:

Over two-thirds (68%) of the population were between 20 and 39 years old. The average age was 33 with a range for female inmates from 19 to 57 and a range for male inmates from 14 to 80.

IV. AWAITING TRIAL POPULATION (n=629)




			Type of Offense					Total
			Violent	Sex	B&E	Drug	Nonviolent	
SEX	Female	Count	16	0	3	14	16	49
		% within SEX	32.7%	.0%	6.1%	28.6%	32.7%	100.0%
	Male	Count	234	41	47	139	103	564
		% within SEX	41.5%	7.3%	8.3%	24.6%	18.3%	100.0%
Total	Count	250	41	50	153	119	613	
	% within SEX	40.8%	6.7%	8.2%	25.0%	19.4%	100.0%	

Summary:

On December 31st, the majority of males were awaiting trial for violent offenses. In contrast, the majority of females were awaiting trial for violent AND nonviolent offenses.

From June 30th to December 31st, the male awaiting trial population experienced a decrease of 19% while the female awaiting trial population experienced a decrease of 38%.


NOTE: At the time of this analysis, RIDOC had not yet received court documentation indicating the charge for which 16 offenders were being held. As a result, these 16 offenders are not counted in the table above.



			Race						Total
			Amer Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	White	
SEX	Female	Count	0	0	14	5	0	32	51
		% within SEX	.0%	.0%	27.5%	9.8%	.0%	62.7%	100.0%
	Male	Count	1	12	168	137	4	256	578
		% within SEX	.2%	2.1%	29.1%	23.7%	.7%	44.3%	100.0%
Total	Count	1	12	182	142	4	288	629	
	% within SEX	.2%	1.9%	28.9%	22.6%	.6%	45.8%	100.0%	

Summary:

As is consistent with data from previous years, Whites constitute the largest proportion of awaiting trial offenders (46%). The second largest proportion of inmates are Black (29%).




			Age						Total
			under 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	
SEX	Female	Count	1	17	15	17	1	0	51
		% within SEX	2.0%	33.3%	29.4%	33.3%	2.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Male	Count	51	208	188	106	23	2	578
		% within SEX	8.8%	36.0%	32.5%	18.3%	4.0%	.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	52	225	203	123	24	2	629
		% within SEX	8.3%	35.8%	32.3%	19.6%	3.8%	.3%	100.0%

Summary:

Over two-thirds (68%) of the awaiting trial population were between 20 and 39 years old. The average age of all awaiting trial inmates was 33 with a range of 14 to 66.

For awaiting trial males, the average age was 32 with a range of 14 to 66 and the average age for awaiting trial females was 34 with a range of 19 to 54.




			Bail Categories			Total
			Held w/o Bail	Under \$10,000	Over \$10,000	
SEX	Female	Count	36	2	13	51
		% within SEX	70.6%	3.9%	25.5%	100.0%
	Male	Count	413	52	112	577
		% within SEX	71.6%	9.0%	19.4%	100.0%
Total		Count	449	54	125	628
		% within SEX	71.5%	8.6%	19.9%	100.0%

Summary:

The large majority of the December 31st awaiting trial population were being held without bail.

Of those inmates held without bail, 37% were awaiting trial for a violent offense and an additional 34% were awaiting trial for a drug-related offense.

V. SENTENCED POPULATION (n=2852)



			Offense Type					Total
			Violent	Sex	B & E	Drug	Noviolent	
SEX	Female	Count	28	1	7	29	91	156
		% within SEX	17.9%	.6%	4.5%	18.6%	58.3%	100.0%
	Male	Count	1009	329	198	577	572	2685
		% within SEX	37.6%	12.3%	7.4%	21.5%	21.3%	100.0%
Total	Count		1037	330	205	606	663	2841
	% within SEX		36.5%	11.6%	7.2%	21.3%	23.3%	100.0%


Summary:

Included in the total sentenced population on pages 13 through 18 are 83 offenders serving time on home confinement. Additionally, 11 female offenders who were serving time at the Women's Transitional Housing Program on December 31, 2002 are included. The Program opened in September 2001, and has had an average population of 10 offenders since opening.

The most commonly occurring sentence for females are the following crimes: Loiter for Prostitution (n=28), Shoplifting (n=14) and Possession of Cocaine (n=6).

The most commonly occurring sentence for males are the following crimes: Robbery (n=254); Murder I (n=141); and First Degree Child Molestation (n=134).


NOTE: At the time of this analysis, RIDOC had not yet received court documentation indicating the charge for which 11 offenders were being held. As a result, these 11 offenders are not counted in the table above.



			Race						Total
			Amer Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	White	
SEX	Female	Count	0	0	40	25	0	93	158
		% within SEX	.0%	.0%	25.3%	15.8%	.0%	58.9%	100.0%
	Male	Count	11	27	743	507	1	1405	2694
		% within SEX	.4%	1.0%	27.6%	18.8%	.0%	52.2%	100.0%
Total		Count	11	27	783	532	1	1498	2852
		% within SEX	.4%	.9%	27.5%	18.7%	.0%	52.5%	100.0%

Summary:

Similar to the awaiting trial population, Whites constitute the largest proportion of the sentenced population (53%). The second largest proportion of sentenced inmates are Black (28%).




			Age						Total
			< 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	
SEX	Female	Count	6	35	71	36	10	0	158
		% within SEX	3.8%	22.2%	44.9%	22.8%	6.3%	.0%	100.0%
	Male	Count	74	917	923	567	150	63	2694
		% within SEX	2.7%	34.0%	34.3%	21.0%	5.6%	2.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	80	952	994	603	160	63	2852
		% within SEX	2.8%	33.4%	34.9%	21.1%	5.6%	2.2%	100.0%

Summary:

The majority of all sentenced inmates were between ages 30 and 39. The average age for all sentenced inmates was 34 years old, with a range from 17 to 80.

The males range in age from 17 to 80, while the females range in age from 19 to 57.



			Sentence Length						Total
			6 mos or Less	6 mos - 1yr	1 - 3 yrs	3 - 5yrs	5-10yrs	10+yrs	
SEX Female	Count		71	30	35	6	10	6	158
	% within SEX		44.9%	19.0%	22.2%	3.8%	6.3%	3.8%	100.0%
Male	Count		413	328	624	303	360	666	2694
	% within SEX		15.3%	12.2%	23.2%	11.2%	13.4%	24.7%	100.0%
Total	Count		484	358	659	309	370	672	2852
	% within SEX		17.0%	12.6%	23.1%	10.8%	13.0%	23.6%	100.0%

Summary:

On December 31, 2002, 17% of all sentenced inmates were serving time for a sentence of 6 months or less. In contrast to the stock population, approximately two-thirds of all newly sentenced commitments receive sentences of 6 months or less.

One hundred sixty two lifers (142 lifers, 20 lifers w/o parole) are included in the "sentence greater than 10 years" category. While lifers represent 6% of RIDOC's custodial population, several of these inmates are under the jurisdiction of another state.

Type of Offense by Facility

SECURITY		Offense Type					Total
		Violent	Sex	B & E	Drug	Nonviolent	
HIGH SECURITY	Count	59	14	5	5	9	92
	% within SECURITY	64.1%	15.2%	5.4%	5.4%	9.8%	100.0%
HOME CONFINEMENT	Count	9	0	1	7	66	83
	% within SECURITY	10.8%	.0%	1.2%	8.4%	79.5%	100.0%
INTAKE SERVICE CNTR	Count	83	26	12	74	120	315
	% within SECURITY	26.3%	8.3%	3.8%	23.5%	38.1%	100.0%
MAXIMUM SECURITY	Count	233	38	16	35	52	374
	% within SECURITY	62.3%	10.2%	4.3%	9.4%	13.9%	100.0%
MEDIUM PRICE	Count	99	2	33	90	61	285
	% within SECURITY	34.7%	.7%	11.6%	31.6%	21.4%	100.0%
MEDIUM MORAN	Count	339	249	67	117	97	869
	% within SECURITY	39.0%	28.7%	7.7%	13.5%	11.2%	100.0%
MINIMUM SECURITY	Count	189	0	64	249	185	687
	% within SECURITY	27.5%	.0%	9.3%	36.2%	26.9%	100.0%
WOM MIN (DIX)	Count	10	1	1	14	37	63
	% within SECURITY	15.9%	1.6%	1.6%	22.2%	58.7%	100.0%
WOM MED (GM)	Count	15	0	5	10	32	62
	% within SECURITY	24.2%	.0%	8.1%	16.1%	51.6%	100.0%
TRANSITIONAL HOUSING	Count	1	0	1	5	4	11
	% within SECURITY	9.1%	.0%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%	100.0%
Total		Count	330	205	606	663	2841
		% within SECURITY	36.5%	11.6%	21.3%	23.3%	100.0%

Offender Race by Facility

SECURITY		Race						Total
		Amer Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	White	
HIGH SECURITY	Count	0	2	28	19	0	43	92
	% within SECURITY	.0%	2.2%	30.4%	20.7%	.0%	46.7%	100.0%
HOME CONFINEMENT	Count	0	1	10	11	0	61	83
	% within SECURITY	.0%	1.2%		13.3%	.0%	73.5%	100.0%
INTAKE SERVICE CNTR	Count	1	4	86	66	0	163	320
	% within SECURITY	.3%	1.3%	26.9%	20.6%	.0%	50.9%	100.0%
MAXIMUM SECURITY	Count	2	5	138	64	0	166	375
	% within SECURITY	.5%	1.3%	36.8%	17.1%	.0%	44.3%	100.0%
MEDIUM II	Count	1	2	89	71	0	123	286
	% within SECURITY	.3%	.7%	31.1%	24.8%	.0%	43.0%	100.0%
MEDIUM SECURITY	Count	4	11	217	127	0	510	869
	% within SECURITY	.5%	1.3%	25.0%	14.6%	.0%	58.7%	100.0%
MINIMUM SECURITY	Count	3	2	176	152	1	355	689
	% within SECURITY	.4%	.3%	25.5%	22.1%	.1%	51.5%	100.0%
WOM MIN (DIX)	Count	0	0	15	11	0	39	65
	% within SECURITY	.0%	.0%	23.1%	16.9%	.0%	60.0%	100.0%
WOMENS MED (GM)	Count	0	0	21	9	0	32	62
	% within SECURITY	.0%	.0%	33.9%	14.5%	.0%	51.6%	100.0%
TRANSITIONAL HOUSING	Count	0	0	3	2	0	6	11
	% within SECURITY	.0%	.0%	27.3%	18.2%	.0%	54.5%	100.0%
Total		Count	27	783	532	1	1498	2852
		% within SECURITY	.9%	27.5%	18.7%	.0%	52.5%	100.0%

Offender Age by Facility

SECURITY		Age						Total
		< 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	
HIGH SECURITY	Count	2	31	28	19	11	1	92
	% within SECURITY	2.2%	33.7%	30.4%	20.7%	12.0%	1.1%	100.0%
HOME CONFINEMENT	Count	2	32	25	15	9	0	83
	% within SECURITY	2.4%	38.6%	30.1%	18.1%	10.8%	.0%	100.0%
INTAKE SERVICE CNTR	Count	18	126	101	62	12	1	320
	% within SECURITY	5.6%	39.4%	31.6%	19.4%	3.8%	.3%	100.0%
MAXIMUM SECURITY	Count	7	139	130	78	17	4	375
	% within SECURITY	1.9%	37.1%	34.7%	20.8%	4.5%	1.1%	100.0%
MEDIUM II	Count	10	123	106	41	4	2	286
	% within SECURITY	3.5%	43.0%	37.1%	14.3%	1.4%	.7%	100.0%
MEDIUM SECURITY	Count	11	216	295	224	74	49	869
	% within SECURITY	1.3%	24.9%	33.9%	25.8%	8.5%	5.6%	100.0%
MINIMUM SECURITY	Count	24	253	245	134	27	6	689
	% within SECURITY	3.5%	36.7%	35.6%	19.4%	3.9%	.9%	100.0%
WOM MED (DIX)	Count	2	10	32	18	3	0	65
	% within SECURITY	3.1%	15.4%	49.2%	27.7%	4.6%	.0%	100.0%
WOM MED (GM)	Count	4	19	27	9	3	0	62
	% within SECURITY	6.5%	30.6%	43.5%	14.5%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%
TRANSITIONAL HOUSING	Count	0	3	5	3	0	0	11
	% within SECURITY	.0%	27.3%	45.5%	27.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	80	952	994	603	160	63	2852
	% within SECURITY	2.8%	33.4%	34.9%	21.1%	5.6%	2.2%	100.0%

Offender Sentence Length by Facility

SECURITY		Sentence Length						Total
		6 mos or Less	6 mos - 1yr	1 - 3 yrs	3 - 5yrs	5-10yrs	10+yrs	
HIGH SECURITY	Count	1	2	5	4	19	61	92
	% within SECURITY	1.1%	2.2%	5.4%	4.3%	20.7%	66.3%	100.0%
HOME CONFINEMENT	Count	47	18	17	1	0	0	83
	% within SECURITY	56.6%	21.7%	20.5%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
INTAKE SERVICE CNTR	Count	147	54	56	21	23	19	320
	% within SECURITY	45.9%	16.9%	17.5%	6.6%	7.2%	5.9%	100.0%
MAXIMUM SECURITY	Count	8	12	56	28	40	231	375
	% within SECURITY	2.1%	3.2%	14.9%	7.5%	10.7%	61.6%	100.0%
MEDIUM II	Count	45	49	112	43	31	6	286
	% within SECURITY	15.7%	17.1%	39.2%	15.0%	10.8%	2.1%	100.0%
MEDIUM SECURITY	Count	21	60	136	102	217	333	869
	% within SECURITY	2.4%	6.9%	15.7%	11.7%	25.0%	38.3%	100.0%
MINIMUM SECURITY	Count	154	137	248	104	30	16	689
	% within SECURITY	22.4%	19.9%	36.0%	15.1%	4.4%	2.3%	100.0%
WOM MIN (DIX)	Count	36	12	11	1	3	2	65
	% within SECURITY	55.4%	18.5%	16.9%	1.5%	4.6%	3.1%	100.0%
WOM MED (GM)	Count	25	9	12	5	7	4	62
	% within SECURITY	40.3%	14.5%	19.4%	8.1%	11.3%	6.5%	100.0%
TRANSITIONAL HOUSING	Count	0	5	6	0	0	0	11
	% within SECURITY	.0%	45.5%	54.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	484	358	659	309	370	672	2852
	% within SECURITY	17.0%	12.6%	23.1%	10.8%	13.0%	23.6%	100.0%

VI. INTRODUCTION TO PROBATION AND PAROLE DATA


On December 31, 2002 there were 27,114 offenders with an active probation or parole case. The population analyzed in this report (n= 23,591) reflects the workload of Rhode Island Probation and Parole Officers on December 31, 2002. Included in the analyzed population are Rhode Island offenders who are supervised in Rhode Island, as well as offenders whose case was adjudicated in another state, but through an interstate transfer are being supervised in Rhode Island (n= 585).

Offenders excluded from the population analyzed are those offenders who were incarcerated on December 31, 2002 and had probation to follow their release (n=2,085); were deported and thus not residing in Rhode Island (n=398); and offenders who were sentenced on charges in Rhode Island, but are currently being supervised in another State (n=1,040). These categories of offenders are not supervised by any Rhode Island Probation or Parole Officer and do not impact their workloads and are thus not included in this analysis.

Offenders under a probation sentence are either being actively supervised or their supervision has been suspended. If they are under active supervision they are maintaining regular contact with a Probation Officer, either face to face or via telephone. Those offenders whose supervision has been suspended are not reporting to a Probation Officer on a regular basis. Supervision of an offender may be suspended due to an active warrant being issued for their arrest, court ordered to be unsupervised, "banked" because they have been trouble free for an extended period of time or awaiting transfer to from one caseload to another. In this report active supervision and suspended supervision offenders will be reported together.

VII. COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS (n=22,975)

Probation Population




Summary:

More than three-fourths (3/4) of the total probation population are male.

NOTE: Cases identified as Sex being "Unknown" are due to data having not been entered into the database. Although there are more than six hundred cases where sex is "unknown", this represents only a very small percentage of the total probation population (<3.0%).

Probation Population: Type of Offense




			Type of Offense						Total
			Violent	Sex	B&E	Drug	Non-Violent	Missing	
SEX	Female	Count	934	15	84	1080	1792	24	3929
		% within SEX	23.8%	.4%	2.1%	27.5%	45.6%	.6%	100.0%
	Male	Count	7225	726	956	4907	4441	149	18404
		% within SEX	39.3%	3.9%	5.2%	26.7%	24.1%	.8%	100.0%
	Unknown	Count	119	21	64	147	238	53	642
		% within SEX	18.5%	3.3%	10.0%	22.9%	37.1%	8.3%	100.0%
Total	Count	8278	762	1104	6134	6471	226	22975	
	% within SEX	36.0%	3.3%	4.8%	26.7%	28.2%	1.0%	100.0%	

Summary:

Within the probation population most males are under supervision for violent crimes (39.3%), while close to half (45.6%) of all women are under supervision for non-violent crimes.


NOTE: When considering the entire population, the number of cases for which offense type is missing is rather small; 226 (1.0%)



			Race							Total
			Amer Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Unknown	White	
SEX	Female	Count	27	25	727	505	26	260	2359	3929
		% within SEX	.7%	.6%	18.5%	12.9%	.7%	6.6%	60.0%	100.0%
	Male	Count	60	169	3409	3165	93	696	10812	18404
		% within SEX	.3%	.9%	18.5%	17.2%	.5%	3.8%	58.7%	100.0%
	Unknown	Count	1	1	35	32	1	348	224	642
		% within SEX	.2%	.2%	5.5%	5.0%	.2%	54.2%	34.9%	100.0%
Total	Count	88	195	4171	3702	120	1304	13395	22975	
	% within SEX	.4%	.8%	18.2%	16.1%	.5%	5.7%	58.3%	100.0%	

Summary:

The majority of all males and females under probation supervision are White (58.7% and 60.0% respectively), followed by Black (males and females both 18.5%).



			Age Categories						Total
			< 20	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	
SEX	Female	Count	74	1104	1492	994	228	36	3928
		% within SEX	1.9%	28.1%	38.0%	25.3%	5.8%	.9%	100.0%
	Male	Count	553	6293	5858	4088	1161	436	18389
		% within SEX	3.0%	34.2%	31.9%	22.2%	6.3%	2.4%	100.0%
	Unknown	Count	0	20	176	264	114	59	633
		% within SEX	.0%	3.2%	27.8%	41.7%	18.0%	9.3%	100.0%
Total		Count	627	7417	7526	5346	1503	531	22950
		% within SEX	2.7%	32.3%	32.8%	23.3%	6.5%	2.3%	100.0%

Summary:


The majority of females under probation supervision are between the ages of 30 and 39 (38.0%) with a fairly even distribution within ages 20-29 (28.1%) and 40-49 (25.3%). The the majority of males are between the ages of 20 and 29 (34.2%), however close to another 1/3 of the males are 30-39 (31.9%).

The average age for both males and females under probation supervision was 35 years old.

NOTE: Total does not add to total number on probation due to missing date of birth information (25 cases).

VI. COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS (n=616)


Parole Population



Summary:

Of all offenders under the supervision of the Parole Unit on December 31, 2002, a very small percentage of those offenders were female (6.5%).


NOTE: The total parole population presented in all parole charts includes 70 cases that were under Electronic Monitoring Parole on December 31, 2002.



			Type of Offense						Total
			Violent	Sex	B&E	Drug	Non-Violent	Missing	
SEX	Female	Count	18	0	2	16	3	1	40
		% within SEX	45.0%	.0%	5.0%	40.0%	7.5%	2.5%	100.0%
	Male	Count	335	11	48	143	31	8	576
		% within SEX	58.2%	1.9%	8.3%	24.8%	5.4%	1.4%	100.0%
Total	Count	353	11	50	159	34	9	616	
	% within SEX	57.3%	1.8%	8.1%	25.8%	5.5%	1.5%	100.0%	

Summary:


Within the parole population most males and females are under supervision for violent crimes (58.2% and 45.0% respectively). Another 40.0% of females are under parole supervision for drug offenses.



			Race							Total
			Amer Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	Unknown	White	
SEX	Female	Count	0	0	11	4	0	2	23	40
		% within SEX	.0%	.0%	27.5%	10.0%	.0%	5.0%	57.5%	100.0%
	Male	Count	5	4	146	106	1	10	304	576
		% within SEX	.9%	.7%	25.3%	18.4%	.2%	1.7%	52.8%	100.0%
Total		Count	5	4	157	110	1	12	327	616
		% within SEX	.8%	.6%	25.5%	17.9%	.2%	1.9%	53.1%	100.0%

Summary:

The majority of all males and females under parole supervision are White (52.8% and 57.5% respectively), followed by Black; 25.3% of males and 27.5% of females.



			Age Categories					Total
			20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	
SEX	Female	Count	12	12	13	3	0	40
		% within SEX	30.0%	30.0%	32.5%	7.5%	.0%	100.0%
	Male	Count	152	222	135	50	17	576
		% within SEX	26.4%	38.5%	23.4%	8.7%	3.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	164	234	148	53	17	616
		% within SEX	26.6%	38.0%	24.0%	8.6%	2.8%	100.0%

Summary:

On December 31, 2002, there were no parolees under the age of 20. Females under parole supervision were somewhat evenly distributed between three age categories; 20-29 (30.0%), 30-39 (30.0%) and 40-49 (32.5%). The majority of males were between the ages of 30 and 39 (38.5%).

The average age for all parolees was 37 years old.

Office Type	Statistics	Case Category				Total
		Bail Supervision	Felony	Interstate	Misdemeanor	
Generic Probation	Count	45	14131	516	6362	21055
	% within office type	.2%	67.1%	2.5%	30.2%	100.0%
Domestic Violence Unit Intensive Supervision	Count	0	18	1	288	307
	% within office type	.0%	5.9%	.3%	93.8%	100.0%
Domestic Violence Unit Non Intensive Supervision	Count	1	65	3	1245	1314
	% within office type	.1%	4.9%	.2%	94.7%	100.0%
Sex Offender Unit	Count	1	97	5	2	105
	% within office type	1.0%	92.4%	4.8%	1.9%	100.0%
Providence Safe Streets	Count	0	93	1	5	99
	% within office type	.0%	93.9%	1.0%	5.1%	100.0%
Risk Assessment Unit	Count	0	64	0	1	65
	% within office type	.0%	98.5%	.0%	1.5%	100.0%
Drug Court	Count	0	23	0	7	30
	% within office type	.0%	76.7%	.0%	23.3%	100.0%
Parole	Count	2	429	77	15	523
	% within office type	.4%	82.0%	14.7%	2.9%	100.0%
Parole: Sex Offender	Count	0	23	0	0	23
	% within office type	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Electronic Monitoring Parole	Count	0	68	1	0	69
	% within office type	.0%	98.6%	1.4%	.0%	100.0%
Electronic Monitoring Parole: Sex Offender	Count	0	1	0	0	1
	% within office type	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	Count	49	15012	604	7925	23591
	% within office type	.2%	63.6%	2.6%	33.6%	100.0%

Summary:

For each offender under the supervision of probation and parole, the case is categorized as either a felony, misdemeanor, interstate case or bail supervision.

Bail Supervision cases are offenders whose cases have not yet been adjudicated but are being supervised by a Probation and Parole Officer.

Cases identified as interstate cases are being supervised by Rhode Island Probation and Parole Officers, but the offender was adjudicated in a State other than Rhode Island. For those cases we are unable to identify whether they are felony or misdemeanor cases so they are reported separately as Interstate.

If an offender has more than one crime, the most serious crime dictates the felony/misdemeanor status.

On December 31, 2002, the majority of all offenders were under supervision for a felony offense (63.6%).

NOTE: One case within a generic probation caseload was missing case category data.